

Mā tō tātou takiwā For our District

Strategy and Policy Committee

Kōmiti Rautaki me Kaupapa Here

SPC23-6 Thursday, 28 September 2023, 9.30am Council Chambers, 1484 Cameron Road, Tauranga



Strategy and Policy Committee

Membership:

Chairperson	Mayor James Denyer	
Deputy Chairperson	Cr Richard Crawford	
Members	Cr Tracey Coxhead	
	Cr Grant Dally	
	Cr Murray Grainger	
	Cr Anne Henry	
	Cr Rodney Joyce	
	Cr Margaret Murray-Benge	
	Deputy Mayor John Scrimgeour	
	Cr Allan Sole	
	Cr Don Thwaites	
	Cr Andy Wichers	
Quorum	Six (6)	
Frequency	Six weekly	

Role:

- To develop and review strategies, policies, plans and bylaws to advance the strategic direction of Council and its communities.
- To ensure an integrated approach to land development (including land for housing), land use and transportation to enable, support and shape sustainable, vibrant and safe communities.
- To ensure there is sufficient and appropriate housing supply and choice in existing and new urban areas to meet current and future needs.

Scope:

- Development and review of bylaws in accordance with legislation including determination of the nature and extent of community engagement approaches to be deployed.
- Development, review and approval of strategies and plans in accordance with legislation including
- determination of the nature and extent of community engagement approaches to be deployed.
- Subject to compliance with legislation and the Long Term Plan, to resolve all matters of strategic policy outside of the Long Term Plan process which does not require, under the Local Government Act 2002, a resolution of Council.

- Development of District Plan changes up to the point of public notification under the Resource Management Act 1991.
- Endorsement of the Future Development Strategy and sub-regional or regional spatial plans.
- Consider and approve changes to service delivery arrangements arising from service delivery reviews required under the Local Government Act 2002 (provided that where a service delivery proposal requires an amendment to the Long Term Plan, it shall thereafter be progressed by the Annual Plan and Long Term Plan Committee).
- Where un-budgeted financial implications arise from the development or review of policies, bylaws or plans, recommend to Council any changes or variations necessary to give effect to such policies, bylaws or plans.
- Listen to and receive the presentation of views by people and engage in spoken interaction in relation to any matters Council undertakes to consult on whether under the Local Government Act 2002 or any other Act.
- Oversee the development of strategies relating to sub-regional parks and subregional community facilities for the enhancement of community wellbeing of the Western Bay of Plenty District communities, for recommendation to Tauranga City Council and Western Bay of Plenty District Council.
- Consider and decide applications to the Community Matching Fund (including accumulated Ecological Financial Contributions).
- Consider and decide applications to the Facilities in the Community Grant Fund.
- Approve Council submissions to central government, councils and other organisations, including submissions on proposed legislation, plan changes or policy statements.
- Receive and make decisions and recommendations to Council and its Committees, as appropriate, on reports, recommendations and minutes of the following:
 - SmartGrowth Leadership Group
 - Regional Transport Committee
 - Any other Joint Committee, Forum or Working Group, as directed by Council.
- Receive and make decisions on, as appropriate, any matters of a policy or planning nature from the following:
 - Waihī Beach, Katikati, Ōmokoroa, Te Puke and Maketu Community Boards.
 - Community Committee.

Power to Act:

• To make all decisions necessary to fulfil the role and scope of the Committee subject to the limitations imposed.

Power to Recommend:

• To Council and/or any Committee as it deems appropriate.

Power to sub-delegate:

- The Committee may delegate any of its functions, duties or powers to a subcommittee, working group or other subordinate decision-making body subject to the restrictions within its delegations and provided that any such sub-delegation includes a statement of purpose and specification of task.
- Should there be insufficient time for Strategy and Policy Committee to consider approval for a final submission to an external body, the Chair has delegated authority to sign the submission on behalf of Council, provided that the final submission is reported to the next scheduled meeting of the Strategy and Policy Committee.

Notice is hereby given that a Strategy and Policy Committee Meeting will be held in the Council Chambers, Barkes Corner, 1484 Cameron Road, Tauranga on: Thursday, 28 September 2023 at 9.30am

Order Of Business

1	Karak	ia6
2	Presei	nt6
3	In Atte	endance6
4	Apolo	gies6
5	Consi	deration of Late Items
6	Decla	rations of Interest
7	Public	Excluded Items
8	Public	Forum
9	Presentations	
10	Reports	
	10.1	Submission on Building Consent System Review: Options Paper Consultation (2023)
	10.2	Submission on critical Infrastructure Phase One Consultation
	10.3	Submission on Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2024 37
	10.4	Submission on Western Bay of Plenty Development Plan: Major Capex Proposal long-list consultation44
11	Inform	nation for Receipt

1 KARAKIA

Whakatau mai te wairua Whakawātea mai te hinengaro Whakarite mai te tinana Kia ea ai ngā mahi

Āe

2 PRESENT

- **3** IN ATTENDANCE
- 4 APOLOGIES
- 5 CONSIDERATION OF LATE ITEMS

6 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant and to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as an elected representative and any private or other external interest that they may have.

Settle the spirit

Clear the mind

achieved.

Yes

Prepare the body

To achieve what needs to be

7 PUBLIC EXCLUDED ITEMS

8 **PUBLIC FORUM**

A period of up to 30 minutes is set aside for a public forum. Members of the public may attend to address the Board for up to five minutes on items that fall within the delegations of the Board provided the matters are not subject to legal proceedings, or to a process providing for the hearing of submissions. Speakers may be questioned through the Chairperson by members, but questions must be confined to obtaining information or clarification on matters raised by the speaker. The Chairperson has discretion in regard to time extensions.

Such presentations do not form part of the formal business of the meeting, a brief record will be kept of matters raised during any public forum section of the meeting with matters for action to be referred through the customer relationship management system as a service request, while those requiring further investigation will be referred to the Chief Executive.

9 **PRESENTATIONS**

10 **REPORTS**

- 10.1 SUBMISSION ON BUILDING CONSENT SYSTEM REVIEW: OPTIONS PAPER CONSULTATION (2023)
- File Number: A5678083

Author: Katy McGinity, Senior Policy Analyst

Authoriser: Rachael Davie, Deputy CEO/General Manager Strategy and Community

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

For the information of the Strategy and Policy Committee, this report presents a submission made by Western Bay of Plenty District Council on the following matter:

(a) MBIE: Building consent system review: options paper consultation (2023).

RECOMMENDATION

- That the Senior Policy Analyst's report dates 28 September 2023 titled 'Submission on Building Consent System Review: Options Paper Consultation (2023)' be received.
- 2. That the submission, shown as **Attachment 1** to this report, be received by the Strategy and Policy Committee and the information noted.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Submission on building consent system review: options paper consultation (2023) ... 🖀



4 August 2023

Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment Consultation: Review of the Building Consent System Building System Performance Building, Resources and Markets Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment PO Box 1473 Wellington 6140 New Zealand

By email: <u>building@mbie.govt.nz</u>

Name: Mayor Denyer Organisation: Western Bay of Plenty District Council Postal Address: Private Bag 12803, TAURANGA 3143 Daytime telephone: 0800 926 732 Email address: <u>katy.mcginity@westernbay.govt.nz</u>

Building consent system review: options paper consultation (2023)

We appreciate the opportunity to provide feedback to the building consent system review: options paper consultation (2023).

Council supports MBIE's focus on the whole of building consent system and supports the breadth of the review.

Background

Western Bay of Plenty District Council is a territorial local authority covering approximately 195,000 hectares. The population of the district is currently around 58,000. Towns in the District include Te Puke Ōmokoroa, Katikati, Waihī Beach, Maketu and Pukehina.

We are a fast-growing district, and our population is expected to exceed 70,000 by 2041. We are classified as a 'Tier one' Council in terms of the Nation Policy Statement on Urban Development. We are currently progressing the Medium Density Residential Standards (MDRS) plan change which will enable more medium density developments and we expect this will impact building consent numbers and complexity.

Page **1** of **25**



The resource consents for Stage 1 of the Rangiuru Business Park (35 hectares) have now been granted, and we are expecting multiple industrial and commercial applications for building consent to follow. The Business Park will eventually be a total of 148 hectares.

In the year to June 2023, we processed 992 building consents. The Western Bay of Plenty sub-region is one of the fastest growing areas in New Zealand. The need to deliver growth and providing efficient and effective building control services is well understood by Council.

Submission points

Our submission points are set out below, in response to the questions posed by the consultation.

We are more that happy to discuss any matters for clarification or to expand further.

Yours sincerely,

FormesDerye

James Denyer **Mayor** Western Bay of Plenty District Council

Page 2 of 25



Promoting competition in the building regulatory system

	Questions about promoting competition in the building regulatory		
	system		
1	What options are more likely to promote and give competition more prominence in the building regulatory	It is difficult to see how the role of promoting competition among the building system is a role for building consent authorities.	
	system and its decision- making, given the costs and risks?	It is important for Councils to maintain a sense of neutrality across the building consent process and any promotion of competition may be perceived as Council not meeting this duty and favouring a particular supplier.	
2	Are there other regulatory and non-regulatory options that would promote and give competition more prominence in the building regulatory system and its decision making	Currently, the assessment of products used in consent applications is onerous. It requires a risk-based assessment by the building consent authority and with the introduction of multiple new products (with no consenting history) every year and the inability to rely on warranties the need to get it right is paramount. The creation of a national product certification system and/or register by MBIE would provide significant use to building consent authorities and enable quicker decision making. In addition to this, it is suggested that the process around the supply of statements of conformity to the Building Code could be improved. It is recommended that this	
		process is reviewed in order to make it more independent.	
3	What other options or potential combinations would work together to give effect to competition	See answer to question 1 above.	

Page **3** of **25**



	as an objective in the building regulatory	
	system?	
4	Do you agree with MBIE's	The promotion of competition is ultimately
	preferred approach to	the role of the Commerce Commission and
	progress options 2	the introduction of it as a regulatory
	(introduce competition	principle and producing guidance around it
	as a regulatory principle)	does not change this.
	and 4 (issue guidance on	
	promoting competition)	
	as a package? Please	
	explain your views.	

Page **4** of **25**



Removing impediments to product substitution and variations

Qu	Questions about removing impediments to product substitutions and		
va	riations		
5	Do you agree with MBIE's preferred approach to progress all the options to improve product substitutions and variations (including for MultiProof) together as a package? Please explain your views.	While we support the creation of greater efficiencies across the building consent system however have identified some risks around the proposed options. Compatibility of products There appears to be no consideration of how products work together and how this can be considered when a product is substituted for another. The risk of product clashes needs to be considered in this	
		context. It should be noted that some products work as a 'system' and part of the system cannot be a simple substitution.	
		Zones As above there appears to be no consideration of a particular products suitability for the durability zone in which it is being used.	
		The above matters need to be addressed before any type of product substitution is progressed.	
6	What impacts will the options regarding product substitution and variations to consents have? What are the risks	The definitions of 'minor variation' and 'deviate significantly' need to be made clearer. Would these apply to specific situations or a specific product?	
	with these options and how should these be managed?	The scope of this change needs to be narrowed while ensuring that any liability to Building Control Authorities is reduced as much as possible.	
7	What impacts will the options regarding MultiProof have? What	Council supports the use of the MultiProof system and encourages greater use of it across the sector. It is recommended that 25	



	are the risks with these options and how should these be managed?	MBIE promote the system more and look at ways it can be made more accessible with an easier pathway for 'sign up'.
		We are generally supportive of options 1 and 2 and suggest that this may encourage more developers to use the MultiProof system.
8	Are there any other options to improve the system and make product substitutions and variations to consents, and MultiProof, more effective and efficient?	The creation of a national product certification system and/or register by MBIE would provide significant use to building consent authorities and enable quicker decision making.

Page 6 of 25



Strengthening roles and responsibilities

Qu	estions about options to cl	arify roles and responsibilities and
str	engthen accountability	
9	Do you agree with MBIE's preferred approach to progress options 1 (guidance) and 2 (declaration of design compliance requirement) as a	Yes, while certain roles and responsibilities are already made clear in the Act, additional guidance would provide the necessary information to fill any gaps. Building consent authorities are only part of the approach to provide assurance.
	package? Please explain your views.	Assurance begins with good quality design, followed by quality workmanship.
10	Should there be a requirement for a person to be responsible for managing the sequencing and coordination of building	A person to manage the sequencing and coordination of building work on site would be beneficial and mean that building inspectors would have someone specific to talk to.
	work on site (option 3)? Please explain your views.	It is suggested that the existing site licence provisions could be utilised for this purpose.
11	What are the risks with these options and how should these be managed	There is a risk that one person being responsible for the sequencing of events may become solely liable for everything and viewed as potential 'scapegoat'. Provisions need to be put in place to safeguard the role and that on person to ensure that liability sits across the wider build and building companies are still held accountable.
12	Do you agree the declaration of design compliance should be submitted by a person subject to competency assessments and complaints and disciplinary processes? Please explain your views.	Yes, competency of designers is a key area where improvements could be made. Currently, there is no requirement around what level of building a designer can undertake work on. This is resulting in the building consent authority having to manage any anomalies through the consent process.



13	What information should	This is not the role of the building consent authority, and the onus should be on the designer to provide the requisite evidence in line with the building code. A declaration of design compliance
2	be provided in a declaration of design compliance? Would the detail and type of information required in Form2A (Certificate of design work) be sufficient?	should state how the design is complying with the Building Code. Currently, compliance with the building code appears to be seen as an individual rather than a shared responsibility. Requiring more parties to state how their work applies to the code will help lift the standard.
		Form2A is currently very generic and does not provide enough detail for the building consent authority to rely on. The declaration of design compliance could help improve this.
14	Should the declaration of design compliance replace the certificate of design work (for restricted building work)? Please explain your views.	Potentially yes, as per above answers.
15	When might a design coordination statement be required? What should be the responsibilities and accountabilities of the person providing the design coordination statement?	The design coordination statement should provide tangible evidence of a person's qualifications to undertake design at the level aligned with what is proposed in the application.
16	Should there be restrictions on who can carry out the on-site sequencing and	The person carrying out the on-site sequencing should be suitably qualified. The site licence provisions could be expanded to include different categories

Page **8** of **25**



	coordination role? Would	of building NCA levels in order to meet
	the site licence be	this.
	sufficient to fulfil this	
	function?	Any competency relating to a site licence
		needs to be independently assessed not
		self nominated or declared.
17	What other options	There is currently the expectation that the
	should be considered to	building consent authority is responsible
	clarify responsibilities	for picking up every issue and problem.
	and strengthen	The building consent is seen as a
	accountability?	guarantee that absolutely everything is
		complied with and is free of defects. This
		over reliance on building consent
		authorities' leads to a disproportionate
		amount of responsibility on them, which
		should not be the case.
		We continue to support a change to the
		current liability settings. Liability and
		responsibility are critical as ensure that
		everyone is on the same path. As it stands,
		it appears that parts of the industry are
		aware of their ability to opt out of their
		responsibility.

Producer statements

Que	stions about producer sto	atements
18	Do you agree with	We support the provision of guidance
	MBIE's preferred	around this topic. Guidance is a powerful
	approach to progress	tool and coupled with a degree of
	option 2 (non-	prescription helps avoid ambiguity.
	prescriptive legislation	
	and guidance)? Please	
	explain your views.	
19	What should be the	Producer statements should be able to be
	purpose of producer	relied upon and provide building consent
	statements and what	authorities with the appropriate level of
	weight should be given	assurance.

Page **9** of **25**



	to them?	
	to them?	It is recommended that incorporating
		producer statements back into the
		Building Act should be considered.
20	Should there be	Producer statements should be provided
20	restrictions on who can	by those who are suitably qualified.
	provide a producer	Evidence of this could be included as part
	statement? Please	
		of the statement. However, it should not
	explain your views.	be the role of the building consent
		authority to assess an individual's
		qualifications. What is required should be
		prescribed and managed by MBIE.
21	What is the appropriate	The qualification and level of
	criteria to assess the	insurance/indemnity of those making the
	reliability of producer	producer statements should be included
	statements?	as part of the criteria.
		The level of cover set out in a producer
		statement should be appropriate for the
		scale of the job being undertaken. It
		would be helpful for this this to be
		something that could be considered by
		the building consent authority when
		assessing producer statements as this
		shows that they are placed to undertake
		the type of work set out in the statement
		and therefore providing further assurance.
		It would be helpful for a central register to
		be created. Those on the register should
		meet the prescribed competency and
		hold the appropriate level of insurance
L		cover.
22	What other risks need	There doesn't appear to be consideration
	to be managed?	for how problematic producer statement
		authors can be managed. There needs to
		be a system in place to manage poor
		performance and a mechanism for where
		Council can raise concerns about a
		particular producer statement author with

Page **10** of **25**



	avenues for investigation and/or
	independent review.

New assurance pathways

Que	Question about taking a more risk-based approach under current	
settings		
23	What extent would MBIE guidance assist building consent authorities to better take a risk-based approach under existing regulatory settings?	A risk-based approach is already adopted by most building consent authorities however the currently liability settings remain a major barrier and without a change to these the management of risk remains disproportionately with building consent authorities. Guidance from MBIE assisting building consent authorities to better take a risk-based approach would be helpful.
		Currently, there is a limited evidence base which building consent authorities can rely on to support decisions made in relation to risk-based assessments. For example, IANZ assessors can sometimes take a narrow view of risk-based assessments so being able to rely on guidance from MBIE on this would be useful. It is recommended that any promotion of a risk-based approach needs to be across the sector.

Que	Question about options for self-certification		
24	To what extent would	While there is merit in the idea of self	
	self-certification align	certification, an appropriate system needs	
	assurance with risk	to be put in place and managed by MBIE.	
	levels and sector skills?	The creation of a register of who can	
		provide self certification and details of	
		their insurance would help provide the	

Page **11** of **25**



		assurance needed.
		Building consent authorities need to be
		assured that they won't be held
		responsible or liable for errors and
		misconduct beyond their control.
25	MBIE has identified	We are supportive of the three outcomes
	three desired	however suggest that mechanisms need
	outcomes for	to be put in place for building consent
	certification (high	authorities to be able to raise complaints
	confidence that work	and concerns about incompetent
	complies with the	certifiers.
	Building Code, remedy	
	for non-compliant work	It is suggested that Section 19 of the
	and that careless or	Building Act needs to include reference to
	incompetent certifiers	self-certification.
	are identified and held	
	to account). Do you	
	agree with the three	
	proposed outcomes	
	and the means to meet	
	these outcomes?	
	Please explains your	
	views.	
26	What are the potential	Insurance remains a risk and self
	risks for self-	certification may start to be recognised as
	certification and how	a risk. Consideration of a central liability
	should these be	fund through levy of members or
	managed? Is there any	insurance underwritten by central
	type of work that	government may wish to be considered.
	should not be able to	
	be self-certified?	

Page **12** of **25**



Commercial consent

Que	stions about the option o	f a new commercial consent process
27	To what extent would the commercial consent process align assurance with risk levels, the respective skills of sector professionals and building consent authorities?	The commercial sector is best placed to manage its own risk. However, building consent authorities still provide value in this space and should maintain involvement in an audit capacity. It is recommended that the installation of passive fire systems and any other specialised sub-trades needs to be regulated and managed.
28	Would it enable a more agile and responsive approach to dealing with design changes as construction progresses? Please explain your views.	As above.

Que	Questions about the design considerations for the commercial consent		
pro	process		
29	What should be the	Commercial 3 and potentially Residential	
	scope of the	3 consents could potentially be delegated	
	commercial pathway?	to a more appropriate taskforce, rather	
	Should it be mandatory	than left the existing in building consent	
	for Commercial 3	authorities.	
	buildings and voluntary		
	for Commercial 1 and 2	Building consent authorities continue to	
	buildings? Please	add value to Commercial 1 and 2 building	
	explain your views.	consent processes.	
30	Do you agree with the	If these pathways were to progress the	
	proposed roles,	liability of the building consent authority	
	responsibilities and	needs to remain clear throughout.	

Page **13** of **25**



	accountabilities?	
	Please explain your	
	views.	
31	What would be the risks	There is a risk that this change will shift a
	with the commercial	significant amount of risk to the private
	consent pathway and	sector without considering changes to
	how should they be	liability settings first.
	managed? Please	
	comment on entry	
	requirements, site	
	coordination, overall	
	responsibility for the	
	quality assurance	
	system, third party	
	review and what (if	
	any) protections would	
	be needed for owners	
	of commercial	
	buildings.	

Que	Question about options for new pathways to provide assurance		
32	Do you agree with	This option covers a significant change	
	MBIE's preferred	that requires further consideration.	
	approach to progress		
	policy work on the	Workshops with MBIE and Council	
	detailed design of the	representatives may be helpful to help	
	two new assurance	facilitate this	
	pathways, repeal the		
	inactive risk-based		
	consenting provisions		
	in the Building		
	Amendment Act 2012		
	and issue guidance for		
	building consent		
	authorities? Please		
	explain your views.		

Page **14** of **25**



Better delivery of building consent services

Que	estions about providing gi	reater national direction and consistency
33	Which options would	There are benefits to all of the options
	best support	outlined however barriers to overcome,
	consistency and	these are outlined below:
	predictability given	
	costs, risks and	Option 1 – Councils currently set their own
	implementation	processes and get audited on these.
	timeframes? Please	While nationally consistent processes and
	explain your views	requirements would benefit the wider
		consenting process there is a risk that a
		monopoly could be created. To reduce
		this it is recommend hat the process is
		overseen by MBIE.
		It should be noted that to some extent
		option 1 is already happening with some
		organisations in the private sector and
		clusters of building control authorities
		joining together to create greater
		efficiencies.
		Option 2 – we welcome a review of the
		building consent application and
		processing systems currently in use in
		order to identify a national approach.
		Again, a monopoly in this space has
		already been created and as a result
		costs have risen considerably. We
		encourage the leadership of MBIE to
		consider the use of systems and
		technology as there is a need for greater
		consistency around this.
		Option 3 – Remote inspection technology
		is okay in theory for a low-level inspection
		however it has not saved time and does
		result in reduced quality. In addition, it is
		limited by mobile coverage which given

Page **15** of **25**



		the nature of remote inspections is
		problematic.
		Remote inspections should not be
		mandatory. Remote accessibility is
		restricted in a lot of places across New
		Zealand, and it should ultimately be up to
		the Building control authority to use this
		function as a choice.
		Option 4 – Training costs for building
		control authorities have risen
		considerably recently and while Council
		has instigated a Cadet programme to
		stop gap the shortage of natural resource
		a centralised training may be the solution.
		It is difficult for building control authorities
		to find the appropriate resource for
		suitable staff to train and manage these
		types of programmes. It could be better
		managed by Central Government and
		would contribute significantly to the
		- ·
		consistency of training across building
		control authorities.
		Monopolisation of training is a risk to
		council. Current providers including BOINZ
		charge exorbitant fees for training which is
		prohibitive to councils to use to train the
		, appropriate number of staff.
34	What other costs and	The risk of monopolisation of certain
	risks need to be	aspects of the building consent system
	considered?	needs to be considered in addition to the
		to building consent authorities.
35	Are there any other	It is recommended that to ensure
	options that would	consistency and reduce the risk of
	support consistency	monopolisation, these systems are
	and predictability?	managed by MBIE.

Page **16** of **25**



It is also recommended that competency assessments for building control officers
are also centralised and managed by MBIE.

Que	Questions about boosting capacity and capability		
36	Which options would	Sector workforce capacity and capability	
	most alleviate capacity	constraints are significant. There is a	
	and capability	significant skill shortage across the	
	constraints given costs,	building industry, and we support initiative	
	risks and	to help boost capacity and capability.	
	implementation	Given this, Option 3 is the best option to	
	timeframes? Please	alleviate these constraints.	
	explain your views.		
		Some Councils already work alongside each other to share information and resource however option 3 would ensure a more consistent approach is taken nationally.	
		We support the idea of specialist expertise being available for Councils to utilise when looking for help on a particular subject.	
		It should be noted that timeframes are not just the responsibility of building consent authorities alone and the wider industry needs to be held accountable to meet their respective timeframes too. The use of technology could help this.	
37	What other costs and	The lack of streamlined technology across	
	risks need to be	the building consent process remains a	
	considered?	barrier and needs to be seriously	
		considered to create efficiencies and	
		alleviate the above constraints.	
		The new applicant portal utilised by many	

Page **17** of **25**



		Councils is an example of the way technology can benefit the wider consent system as it has created greater transparency for owners to see the status of their application and where there may be any delays in the system.
38	Are there any other options that would	Option 3 + streamlined technology.
	alleviate capacity and capability constraints?	

Que	stions about achieving g	reater economies of scale
39	What are the biggest barriers to voluntary consolidation? How could these be overcome?	 The major barriers to voluntary consolidation are as follows: Public perception – applicants like to know that inspections are being carried out by local people with local knowledge and expertise. Geography: The location of offices and the time it takes to travel to sites is a barrier. Resource – the capacity for building
		 control authorities to manage a voluntary consolidation is a barrier. Systems - different councils have different systems and there will be a significant cost to amalgamate these in the event of a voluntary consolation.
40	Which options would best support building consent authorities to achieve greater economies of scale given costs, risks and implementation timeframes? Please explain your views.	Option 2 – The creation of a national body to undertaken large scale consents relating to central government infrastructure projects, packhouses, factories etc would significantly support a building consent authorities' ability to achieve greater economies of scale.

Page **18** of **25**



	1	1
41	What other costs and	The creation of a national building
	risks need to be	consent authority carries the risk of
	considered?	resource being diverted away from
		existing building consent authorities.
42	Are there any other	The submission points outlined above set
	options that would	out several options that would support
	support building	building consent authorities to achieve
	consent authorities to	greater economies of scale. A summary
	achieve greater	of these is set out below:
	economies of scale?	Self certification
		Commercial consents pathways
		Creation of a product register
		The creation of a national body to
		undertake large scale consents
		relating to central government
		infrastructure projects, packhouses,
		factories etc would significantly
		support a building consent authorities'
		ability to achieve greater economies of
		scale.
		It is also recommended that a centralised
		alternative solution register is explored.
		This would help building consent
		authorities with decision making and the
		processing of consents and should also
		include information about products and
		systems that are not working. Further
		support of information sharing across
		building consent authorities is set out
		below.
I	1	1

Page **19** of **25**



Better performance monitoring and system stewardship

Que	stions about system stew	vardship
43	Will these initiatives	Yes.
	enable MBIE to become	
	a better steward and	The primary focus of the building consent
	central regulator and	system should be to provide assurance
	help achieve the	that good quality, safe, healthy, and
	desirable outcomes?	resilient buildings are built. It is Central
	Please explain your	Government's responsibility is to provide
	views.	this assurance through monitoring
		Building Control Authorities, builders, and
		product performance; evaluation;
		performance reporting' policy advice;
		policy and operation design'; legislative
		design; information provision; standard
		setting and capability building.
		Central Government should continue to
		lead the regulation and certification of
		products and should provide compliance
		that are clear for modern methods of
		construction.
44	What initiatives should	See question 42
	be prioritised and why?	
45	What else does MBIE	There is a general desire from Building
	need to do to become	Consent Authorities for MBIE to taker
	a better steward and	greater ownership across the consenting
	central regulator?	landscape. This includes but is not limited
		to the provision of guidance, training,
		templates, and the development of
		acceptable solutions. Some further
		specific examples are set out below:
		Droduct register
		Product register As outlined above the creation of a
		product register would hugely benefit
		building control authorities.
		Systems/technology
		systems/technology

Page **20** of **25**



Establishing processes and creating
greater consistency and control of
systems and technology used across the
consenting process would also hugely
benefit building control authorities.
Sharing of data
It is recommended that MBIE make better
use of determinations made.
Determination numbers, timeframes and
outcomes could provide useful
information about where training is
needed and where clarification or
legislative change is required.
legislative change is required.
In addition, we would appreciate analysis
of request for information to identify areas
for further education, training, guidance
templates, or development of acceptable
solutions.
As stated in previous submissions, it would
also be useful to see a record of the
number of pass/fails and reasons for
failure. This information would be useful
for training purposes and to build
confidence and trust in the system. Being
able to see other building consent
authorities reasoning and rationale for
approval and exemptions would be
valuable.
Ultimately, the sharing of data,
information and decisions will help lift the
standard nationally.
,

Page **21** of **25**



Better responding to the needs and aspirations of Māori

	stions about options to b āori	etter respond to the needs and aspirations
46	Will these options help address the issues that Māori face in the building consent system? Please explain your views.	The Building Consent process, in its current format, does not work for the development of Māori owned land. The Building Code process needs to be reviewed in relation to multiple owned land. Multiple owned land does not carry the same level of risk as Unit titled land, as it cannot be on sold in the same way.
		While there is merit in each option, they will not address the constraints that exist outside of the building consent process including lending for multiple owned land, landowner consent issues, Māori land Court processes, district planning requirements and building code requirements relating to fire safety and its application to Marae.
47	Which of the three options identified would have the most impact for Māori? Please explain your views.	Option 1 – A lot of Councils are already undertaking the role of navigator between building consent teams and Māori in the context of Māori land and Marae. These relationships are already established, and the navigator role may not be necessary. Option 2 – The main barrier for many council teams who have Te Ao Māori expertise is bridging the gap between this and the need for technical knowledge around Building Act requirements. The development of a new centre of excellence may help with reducing this barrier. Option 3 – Further guidance for building

Page **22** of **25**



 is suggested that it is also needed for applicants to provide a more rounded approach. What are the risks with these options and how should they be managed? Where should the navigator role sit and what responsibilities should it have? Should it include assisting Māori through the wider building process? What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence? What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? What other options to improve the system 			
 applicants to provide a more rounded approach. What are the risks with these options and how should they be managed? Where should the havingator role sit and what responsibilities should it have? Should it include assisting Māori through the wider building process? What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence? What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? What other options to improve the system 			control authorities is welcomed however it
 approach. What are the risks with these options and how should they be managed? Where should the navigator role sit and what responsibilities should it have? Should it include assisting Māori through the wider building process? What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence? What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? What other options to improve the system 			is suggested that it is also needed for
 48 What are the risks with these options and how should they be managed? 49 Where should the navigator role sit and what responsibilities should it have? Should it include assisting Māori through the wider building process? 50 What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence? What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? 51 What other options to improve the system 			applicants to provide a more rounded
 these options and how should they be managed? 49 Where should the navigator role sit and what responsibilities should it have? Should it include assisting Māori through the wider building process? 50 What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence? What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? 51 What other options to improve the system 			approach.
 should they be managed? 49 Where should the navigator role sit and what responsibilities should it have? Should it include assisting Māori through the wider building process? 50 What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence? 50 What should be the should have the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence? 51 What other options to improve the system 	48	What are the risks with	There is a risk that the options will result in
managed?49Where should the navigator role sit and what responsibilities should it have? Should it include assisting Māori through the wider building process?As outlined above, this role is already being undertaken by a lot of Councils and may not be necessary.50What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence? What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence?The centre of excellence should compliment existing processes and provide the technical knowledge around building consent processes.51What other options to improve the systemAs outlined above, it is recommended that an acceptable solution for fire safety		these options and how	a 'one size fits all' approach which would
 49 Where should the navigator role sit and what responsibilities should it have? Should it include assisting Māori through the wider building process? 50 What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence? What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? 51 What other options to improve the system 		should they be	not benefit Māori.
 navigator role sit and what responsibilities should it have? Should it include assisting Māori through the wider building process? 50 What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence? What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? 51 What other options to improve the system being undertaken by a lot of Councils and may not be necessary. being undertaken by a lot of Councils and may not be necessary. being undertaken by a lot of Councils and may not be necessary. being undertaken by a lot of Councils and may not be necessary. The centre of excellence should compliment existing processes and provide the technical knowledge around building consent processes. Statistical provide the technical knowledge around building consent processes. 		managed?	
 what responsibilities should it have? Should it include assisting Māori through the wider building process? 50 What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence? What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? 51 What other options to improve the system 	49	Where should the	As outlined above, this role is already
 should it have? Should it include assisting Māori through the wider building process? 50 What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence? What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? 51 What other options to improve the system 		navigator role sit and	being undertaken by a lot of Councils and
it include assisting Māori through the wider building process?50What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence?The centre of excellence should compliment existing processes and provide the technical knowledge around building consent processes.50What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence?The centre of excellence?51What other options to improve the systemAs outlined above, it is recommended that an acceptable solution for fire safety		what responsibilities	may not be necessary.
Māori through the wider building process?50What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence?The centre of excellence should compliment existing processes and provide the technical knowledge around building consent processes.What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence?He centre of excellence?51What other options to improve the systemAs outlined above, it is recommended that an acceptable solution for fire safety		should it have? Should	
wider building process?50What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence?The centre of excellence should compliment existing processes and provide the technical knowledge around building consent processes.What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence?building consent processes.51What other options to improve the systemAs outlined above, it is recommended that an acceptable solution for fire safety		it include assisting	
 50 What should be the scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence? What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? 51 What other options to improve the system 		Māori through the	
 scope, function, and responsibilities of the centre of excellence? What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? What other options to improve the system As outlined above, it is recommended that an acceptable solution for fire safety 		wider building process?	
 responsibilities of the centre of excellence? What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? What other options to improve the system 	50	What should be the	The centre of excellence should
 centre of excellence? What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? What other options to improve the system As outlined above, it is recommended that an acceptable solution for fire safety 		scope, function, and	compliment existing processes and
What participation should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? S1 What other options to improve the system As outlined above, it is recommended that		responsibilities of the	provide the technical knowledge around
 should Māori in the workforce have in this centre of excellence? 51 What other options to improve the system As outlined above, it is recommended that an acceptable solution for fire safety 		centre of excellence?	building consent processes.
workforce have in this centre of excellence?51What other options to improve the systemAs outlined above, it is recommended that an acceptable solution for fire safety		What participation	
centre of excellence?51What other options to improve the systemAs outlined above, it is recommended that an acceptable solution for fire safety		should Māori in the	
51What other options to improve the systemAs outlined above, it is recommended that an acceptable solution for fire safety		workforce have in this	
improve the system an acceptable solution for fire safety		centre of excellence?	
	51	What other options to	As outlined above, it is recommended that
		improve the system	an acceptable solution for fire safety
and make it more design at Marae is created to provide		and make it more	design at Marae is created to provide
responsive to Māori greater efficiencies in this area. Life safety		responsive to Māori	greater efficiencies in this area. Life safety
needs and aspirations and IQP processes are currently a		needs and aspirations	and IQP processes are currently a
should be considered? significant financial cost to Marae.		should be considered?	significant financial cost to Marae.

Page 23 of 25



Addressing the interface between the building and resource consent systems

	Question about addressing the interface between the building and resource consent processes				
52	What other options to	As it currently stands there is a distinct			
	address the issues	, disconnect between the building and			
	arising from overlaps	resource consent processes.			
	between the building				
	and resource consent	Natural hazards and climate change			
	processes should be	The different treatment of natural hazards			
	considered?	between these two processes is			
		particularly problematic. While the			
		resource consent system bases it			
		treatment of natural hazard on the District			
		Planning maps the building consent			
		process uses GIS mapping. In addition, it			
		appears processes base decisions on			
		different modelling scenarios with			
		resource consents requiring 1 in 100 years			
		and building consents requiring 1 in 50			
		years.			
		Both of these factors can result in			
		inconsistencies across applications and			
		lead to poorer outcomes for applications			
		and Councils alike.			
		Terminology			
		Aligning the terminology used between			
		the two processes would help build			
		understanding.			
		Project information memorandums			
		Project information memorandums (PIM)			
		are undertaken by our Council regardless			
		of whether they are requested by the			
		applicant or not. They form the basis of all			
		decision making throughout the building			
		consent process.			

Page **24** of **25**



Better use of these documents may help bridge this disconnect through assisting applicants with better management of the sequencing of events throughout the course of application. In particular, the use of a PIM would ensure that if a resource consent is required, it is completed prior to a building consent application being lodged.
Education Further education around these processes may also help bridge the disconnect. While a range of resources are already available to applicants including access to GIS systems and the opportunity for a pre-application meeting confusion around the purpose of the processes continues to exist.

General

General comments					
53	Do you have any other	We are more that happy to discuss any			
	comments?	matters for clarification or to expand			
		further.			

Page **25** of **25**

10.2 SUBMISSION ON CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PHASE ONE CONSULTATION

File Number:	A5678085					
Author:	Katy McGinity, Ser	nior Policy	y Analyst			
Authoriser:	Rachael Davie, Community	Deputy	CEO/General	Manager	Strategy	and

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

For the information of the Strategy and Policy Committee, this report presents a submission made by Western Bay of Plenty District Council on the following matter:

a) Submission on Strengthening the Resilience of Aotearoa New Zealand's Critical Infrastructure System phase I consultation.

RECOMMENDATION

- That the Senior Policy Analyst's report dated 28 September 2023 titled 'Submission on Critical Infrastructure Phase One Consultation' be received.
- 2. That the submission, shown as **Attachment 1** to this report, be received by the Strategy and Policy Committee and the information noted.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Submission on Strengthening the Resilience of Aotearoa New Zealand's Critical Infrastructure System phase I consultation 🛽 🖀



4 August 2023

National Security Group Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet Level 8 Executive Wing, Parliament Buildings, Wellington 6021 New Zealand **Consultation:** Strengthening the resilience of Aotearoa New Zealand's critical infrastructure system

By email: infrastructureresilience@dpmxc.govt.nz

Name: Mayor Denyer Organisation: Western Bay of Plenty District Council Postal Address: Private Bag 12803, TAURANGA 3143 Daytime telephone: 0800 926 732 Email address: <u>katy.mcginity@westernbay.govt.nz</u>

Strengthening the resilience of Aotearoa New Zealand's critical infrastructure system

We appreciate the opportunity to provide feedback to strengthen the resilience of Aotearoa New Zealand's critical infrastructure system.

We note that there was limited engagement with local government on this consultation. This is disappointing given the sector is a provider of several pieces of critical infrastructure currently including the three waters and roads.

Background

Western Bay of Plenty District Council is a territorial local authority covering approximately 195,000 hectares. The population of the district is currently around 58,000. Towns in the District include Te Puke Ōmokoroa, Katikati, Waihī Beach, Maketu and Pukehina. We are a fast-growing district, and our population is expected to exceed 70,000 by 2041.

The district has approximately 910km of sealed roads, 163km of unsealed roads and 1073km of network connections to state highways. In addition to

Page 1 of 3



this we currently provide 146.1km of stormwater pipes, 7 stormwater pump stations, over 60 wastewater pump stations and 10 water treatment plans.

We provide a significant amount of infrastructure and understand first hand the impact recent events have had on our networks ability to respond to the needs of our communities.

Submission points

Western Bay of Plenty District Council supports the points set out in the submission of Taituarā (Local Government Professionals Aotearoa) on this matter and provides the following additional comments identified as important to our District:

Climate change

Climate change is identified as one of four global megatrends posing challenges for our critical infrastructure system. We agree with this assessment, however, submit that climate change is already (not will) having direct and indirect impacts on our infrastructure.

Our district, like many others, has experienced these impacts firsthand multiple times this year alone with extreme weather events impacting infrastructure across the district including bridges and roads.

It should be noted that Councils and communities across Aotearoa are already undertaking planning in response to climate change, and this needs to be considered as part of this process alongside local government.

As a Council we have recently undertaken exposure assessments on key pieces of Council owned infrastructure in order to prioritise assets where further work on options to improve resilience will be undertaken. This will inform our asset management approach and Infrastructure Strategy going forward. Many coastal communities are considering their own responses to climate change with many considering developing climate change adaption plans, and the possible use of a Dynamic Adaptive Policy Pathways (DAPP).

Page 2 of 3



Communities are what drive emergency responses and any planning around resilience needs to consider what they are already doing and aspire to achieve.

Affordability

The financial implications of enhancing infrastructure resilience are high. There appears to be limited information around the financial aspects and/or associated costs of the proposed changes in the consultation document.

As set out in the Taituarā submission, any funding supplied by central government comes from the taxpayer and in the local government context, the ratepayer. Rates affordability is already a key issue for many households.

The affordability of any proposals must be a key factor that is considered.

Minimum resilience standards

When setting minimum reliance standards, consideration also needs to be given to the presence and design of infrastructure and its impact on the environment (not just the environment's impact on infrastructure).

In addition, it is recommended that the assets' location and factors such as managed retreat also needs to be considered to inform any decision making.

We are more that happy to discuss any matters for clarification or to expand further.

Yours sincerely,

JonesDerye

James Denyer **Mayor** Western Bay of Plenty District Council

Page 3 of 3
10.3 SUBMISSION ON GOVERNMENT POLICY STATEMENT ON LAND TRANSPORT 2024

Author: Katy McGinity, Senior Policy Analyst

Authoriser: Rachael Davie, Deputy CEO/General Manager Strategy and Community

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

For the information of the Strategy and Policy Committee, this report presents a submission made by Western Bay of Plenty District Council on the following matter:

(a) Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2024.

RECOMMENDATION

- That the Senior Policy Analyst's report dated 28 September 2023 titled 'Submission on Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2024' be received.
- 2. That the submission, shown as **Attachment 1** to this report, be received by the Strategy and Policy Committee and the information noted.

ATTACHMENTS

1. GPS Land Transport 2024-34 - Final Submission 🖉 🛣



15 September 2023

Te Manatū Waka (Ministry of Transport) PO Box 3175 Wellington 6140 New Zealand By email: <u>GPS@transport.govt.nz</u>

Name: Mayor Denyer Organisation: Western Bay of Plenty District Council Postal Address: Private Bag 12803, TAURANGA 3143 Daytime telephone: 0800 926 732 Email address: <u>katy.mcginity@westernbay.govt.nz</u>

Draft Government Policy Statement on land transport 2024/25-2033/34

We appreciate the opportunity to provide feedback on the Government Policy Statement on Land Transport (GPS). We don't wish to appear to speak to our submission.

The Western Bay of Plenty District Council generally supports the submissions of Taituarā and the Bay of Plenty Regional Transport Committee. There are several additional comments we wish to raise and emphasise for your consideration.

Background

Western Bay of Plenty District Council is a territorial local authority covering approximately 195,000 hectares. The population of the district is currently around 58,000. Towns in the district include Te Puke, Ōmokoroa, Katikati, Waihī Beach, Maketu and Pukehina. We are a fast-growing district, and our population is expected to exceed 70,000 by 2041.

The district has approximately 915km of sealed roads, 157km of unsealed roads and 1073km of network connections to state highways. We provide a significant amount of roading infrastructure and have experienced first hand the impact of recent weather events on our network. In the year-to-date, emergency works alone have cost upwards of \$20 million well above the annual average of less than \$1 million.

Page **1** of **6** A5721215



Council has an integral role in responding to the needs of our communities and the burden of increasing costs coupled with a constrained labour market has resulted in additional pressure being felt. Local government needs support from central government in order to provide the necessary levels of service to our communities and ensure safe access is maintained across the network.

Submission points

The below sets out in more detail our individual submission points.

Strategic priorities

We are supportive of the strategic priorities set out in the plan. These align well with the current Bay of Plenty Regional Land Transport Plan and also reflect Council's strategic goals agreed through the development of our Transportation Activity Plan. However, as also mentioned below, it is recommended that further thought is given to aligning the strategic priorities and activity funding classes. We would prefer to see a more direct correlation between the two to increase visibility of where the funding is going.

Strategic Investment Package

The plan sets out the strategic projects to guide National Land Transport Programme (NLTP) development. It is disappointing to see most of the key transport routes in the Western Bay of Plenty excluded from this list.

State Highway 29

While it is encouraging to see Tauranga to Tauriko – SH29 included as a key project, these enabling works sit primarily outside of our roading network and it is recommended that this project be extended to consider the entire SH29 corridor over the Kaimai Ranges and connecting to SH1.

Capacity improvements on SH1 network in the Waikato have caused an increase in the volume of traffic on SH29 with more people choosing to travel via this route. This is expected to continue to increase with the signalling of Cambridge to Piarere – SH1 as a key project.

Increased volumes of traffic on this nationally strategic route have raised concerns in terms of safety, access, and economic productivity. This route

Page **2** of **6** A5721215



provides an essential link for economic access given its role connecting the Port of Tauranga to the areas of production in the region and inter-regionally to the Waikato and wider country. We wish to see improvements made to this route to mitigate these concerns including treatments to support the strategic status of this route. By way of example this would include, but is not limited to, capacity and safety improvements such as four laning, intersection upgrades (including safety treatments for intersections with local roads) and separated lanes.

<u>State Highway 2</u>

State Highway 2 is deemed one of the least safe stretches of road in New Zealand and we would hope that the continued prioritisation of safety through the strategic priorities would result in the appropriate investment being made to improve this. We acknowledge the works undertaken so far and are pleased to see the safety improvements made or underway, however there is still much needed to be completed.

We seek assurance that SH2 projects between Tauranga and Waihī, that address safety and capacity issues, continue to be prioritised and funded. In particular stage 2 of the Takitimu North Link including the Ōmokoroa Road/SH2 Interchange, SH2 Ōmokoroa Intersection improvements, SH2 Waihī to Ōmokoroa (Safer Corridor) and SH2 Katikati Urban (previously Katikati Bypass).

These projects should not be considered as just four-laning projects, but projects to deliver safety and facilitate modal shift in the wider peri-urban environment. They will support the introduction of reliable public transport to growth areas, enable housing, improve freight reliability and increase community wellbeing.

<u>Rail</u>

We are supportive of further investment in rail networks and the utilisation of rail corridors for the movement of freight in order to help remove heavy vehicles from the roading network. While efforts have been made to expand the activity class to include freight utilisation, we wish to see greater emphasis and more funding allocated to this. Investigation of passenger rail (both inter-regional and commuter services) in our district is also important to support longer term growth and modal shift.

> Page **3** of **6** A5721215



We also recommend that funding be assigned to improving the safety of level crossings across New Zealand.

Investment in land transport

We strongly support the proposed substantial increase in the funds available in the National Land Transport Fund (NLTF) and that Network maintenance and asset renewal activities be undertaken to minimise future costs.

We suggest that it would be helpful for the previous year's level of funding to be included in the draft document in order for a comparison of funding levels to be undertaken.

Activity Class – Funding ranges

We are supportive of the NLTF activity classes largely following on from the GPS 2021, with the addition of the new activity class of inter-regional public transport. However, we seek further clarification on two aspects.

Firstly, guidance is sought on how it is envisioned that the partnerships between entities would work for this new activity class. It is submitted that a consistent approach across the country must be taken and therefore further guidance is required.

Secondly, clarification is sought on who will be able to access the funding under the new activity class. Typically, we have been able to access funding for public transport infrastructure such as bus shelters through the low-cost low-risk work category under the local road improvements activity class. Assurance is sought that we will still be able to access funding for these types of projects with the creation of the new activity class.

In addition, as mentioned above it is recommended that further thought is put around aligning the strategic priorities and activity funding classes in order for there to be a direct correlation between the two in order to increase visibility of where the funding is going.

Safety activity class

We are supportive of funding being reallocated from Road to Zero to the state highway and local road improvements activity class. This change will

Page **4** of **6** A5721215



make it easier for Council to undertake safety improvements alongside other pieces of work which leads to a more efficient work programme.

<u>Resilience</u>

We are supportive of resilience being included as a strategic priority and further investment to enable the transport system to be better placed to cope with natural and anthropogenic hazards. However, we seek clarification on where in the NLTF activity classes resilience sits and confirmation that it is the intention for it to span multiple activity classes.

It is also recommended that consideration is given to the creation of a welldefined work category for resilience investment. This would enable resilience improvements funding to sit alongside other work categories and be used on preventative interventions before an event takes place (or for non qualifying emergency works post events). This proactive approach would result in efficiencies across the network and equip the network to be better prepared for the types of weather events we have recently experienced instead of reacting and undertaking emergency work after the event.

Activity class spend - local road

We are strongly supportive of the increased funding made available for local road improvements and local road maintenance. We welcome any additional funding made available to enable us to deliver the customer levels of service necessary for our district. While we are supportive of and work to facilitate the provision of public transport, however we note that the proposed funding range for public transport infrastructure (improvements) is significantly higher than the local road improvements activity class.

It is suggested that further consideration is given to the many rural communities who do not receive the full benefit of public transport services in their areas and request increased funding be allocated for local road improvements and maintenance as opposed to public transport infrastructure.

Walking and Cycling Improvements

We continue to support and recognise the role walking and cycling plays in land transport and the opportunities it presents. We request that this continue to be prioritised.

> Page **5** of **6** A5721215



We are pleased to see continued development of the New Zealand Cycle Network included in the GPS as its own activity class. We continue to progress projects in our district. Projects such as these not only connect communities, but also develop healthier communities and bring economic growth.

We are more than happy to discuss any matters for clarification or to expand further.

Yours sincerely,

JonesDeryer

James Denyer Mayor Western Bay of Plenty District Council

Page **6** of **6** A5721215

10.4 SUBMISSION ON WESTERN BAY OF PLENTY DEVELOPMENT PLAN: MAJOR CAPEX PROPOSAL LONG-LIST CONSULTATION

File Number: A5723010

- Author: Tracey Miller, Strategic Advisor Resource Management
- Authoriser: Rachael Davie, Deputy CEO/General Manager Strategy and Community

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

For the information of the Strategy and Policy Committee, this report presents a submission made by Western Bay of Plenty District Council on the following matter:

(a) Transpower's Western Bay of Plenty Development Plan: Major Capex Proposal long list for consultation.

RECOMMENDATION

- That the Strategic Advisor Resource Management's report dated 28 September 2023 titled 'Submission on Western Bay of Plenty Development Plan: Capex Proposal long-list consultation' be received.
- That the submission, shown as Attachment 1 to this report, be received by the Strategy and Policy Committee and the information noted.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Submission on Transpower's WBOP Development Plan long list 🛽 🛣

TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

Western Bay of Plenty Development Plan: Major Capex Proposal long-list consultation

Specific questions

We have developed specific questions, which are throughout the consultation paper and consolidated below. These are intended to help you respond to our consultation, but you are not obliged to answer any or all of these questions and are welcome to raise other issues that are relevant to our project.

This is a downloadable template, which may be helpful for you to use.

Attachment 1 – Investment need, Regulatory process for investment approval	
Question 1	Do you agree with our assessment of the need to invest in more transmission capacity to serve the Western BOP?
	Are there any other issues or considerations relating to the need that we should incorporate into the investigation?
Response	Yes, we agree there is a need to invest in more transmission capacity to serve the Western Bay of Plenty. Population growth in the Western Bay of Plenty is set to continue increasing, combining with an increase in electricity use there is a strong need to ensure a resilient supply of electricity to the region.
	There is a need to consider how we could work together to increase the prospect of largescale sustainable electricity generation within the region as well as the uptake of renewable electricity generation within the region, e.g., solar panels. The consultation documentation infers a very limited or 0% increase in the use of renewable energy in the region e.g., solar power. While we understand that Transpower and Powerco are not responsible for power generation we believe there is a role for these two distributors to play in supporting and enabling sustainable electricity supply as much as possible.
Attachment 2 – Long-list of options	
Question 2	Are there any other options we should add to our long-list?
Response	No we are not aware of other options that should be added to the long- list.
	From the information provided it appears that there will be a need for a combination of options. Non transmission options, e.g., new power

TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

	supply generation and building new assets for transmission is of particular interest to Western Bay of Plenty District Council.
	Upgrading and enhancing existing assets where there is already infrastructure in place is less significant as there are likely to be less impacts on the community.
	We understand that the Kaimai hydro scheme is the only significant generation within the region and as stated in the long list document it already offsets peak loads at Tauranga and is not sufficient to avoid the problem. However, it would be good to understand this in further detail, e.g., is there any additional capacity in the hydro scheme to create additional supply.
	We are also keen to understand further Transpower and Powerco's role in supporting new dispatchable generation and Western Bay's role in enabling this process.
	We understand that Transpower and Powerco are incorporating inputs into the Governments NPS – Renewable Generation policies to enable and encourage small scale community renewable generation schemes including associated (or stand alone) battery storage.
Question 3	Are there any other criteria we should consider when evaluating our long-list of options and reducing it to a short-list?
Response	It is not clear what criteria will be used to determine the short list, e.g., the MCA has not been made available. Within attachment 2 – Long list of options, there are comments which would benefit from further explanation to understand whether the option is not valid. For example, the Substation Upgrade, line B4, Powerco have said the upgrade is impractical due to a lack of available road reserve. To improve the capacity of the substation it would seem it would be worth considering additional designation / purchase of land. Overall, it would be good to understand whether some of the options in the long-list have been further investigated to be able to be ruled out.
Question 4	Are there any constraints or limitations we might not be aware of regarding any options?
Response	It is not clear based on the information provided where the locations of all of the options are. Providing the location of the options on a map would be useful so that we can provide further detailed feedback on options.
	Unless there appears to be significant consenting challenges whether from a local, regional or central government level it would seem that the ideal solution for power supply / transmission / generation should be

TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

	identified and then the consenting challenges worked through on the basis that adequate power infrastructure is critical to maintaining functioning towns, populations.
Attachment 3	 Information for proponents of non-transmission solutions
Question 5	 This document serves as a Request for Information for non-transmission solutions (e.g., grid scale batteries). Any submission on this aspect should provide as much detail on the NTS as possible. Ideally this should include: Sufficient technical detail to enable an assessment of the option The number of MWs that could be made available Detail of any market benefits The location of where the service would be provided
	• The option(s) timing in terms of construction and availability.
Response	 Western Bay of Plenty District Council would not generally be directly involved in any non-transmission solutions. However, our District Plan encourages and enables small scale solutions such as individual or small community scale off-grid power generation as permitted activities. There may be some very small (inconsequential) individual projects we are not aware of at a planning level.
	There is one suggested small scale community solar scheme for a 32 lot lifestyle development.
	Western Bay of Plenty District Council require all streetlights in new subdivisions to be LED and fitted with remote controllers for future 'smart' operation.
Attachment 4	- Scenarios
Question 6	Do you agree with our proposal to use our NZGP1 EDGS variations as the basis for our market scenarios for this investigation?
Response	N/A (outside of our area of expertise).
Question 7	Are you aware of any new industrial/commercial or residential developments that will significantly impact demand that are not mentioned above? If so, what are they?
Response	WBOPDC Significant Projects Residential: Plan Change 92:

-0-

TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

This plan change is in recognition of the Governments NPS-UD and subsequent MDRS legislation which enables intensification. The plan change relates to Ōmokoroa and Te Puke. Additional rules relating to infill subdivision (brownfield development) have also been introduced.
Ōmokoroa
Overall long term growth projection for Omokoroa:
2023 - 2028: Current population of approx. 4,900 people expected to increase to 6,800.
2028 – 2050: Population expected to be between 12,000 to 13,000 people.
The plan change area is currently subject to two active consents:
Classic Developments – 156 dwelling units developed progressively over the next 2 to 4 years.
Omokoroa Country Club (OCC) – 153 villas, 48 apartments and a 71-bed
care home to be developed over the next 5 years.
Te Puke
Overall longterm growth projection for Te Puke
2023 - 2032: Current population of approx. 9,700 people expected to increase to 12,500-13,000.
Council is undertaking a spatial planning exercise for Te Puke, 'The Te
Puke Spatial Plan', will determine where and to what extent growth will occur in Te Puke. The Te Puke Spatial Plan will consider in detail
community aspirations as well as opportunities and constraints for the
area. It is likely that the identification of new greenfield land areas for
urban development will be identified. The Te Puke Spatial Plan will provide direction for any necessary plan changes to enable the
outcomes of the Te Puke Spatial Plan to be achieved.
Active large-scale consents
North Twelve Ltd – Approved subdivision (RC13244) combined 'turnkey'
housing development comprising 149 allotments with the potential for
the subsequent dwelling units to exceed the number of lots with
townhouse and duplex housing typologies proposed. Site is accessed via
an extension to Dunlop Road. The first stage of the development is
nearing completion. The number of dwelling units may reduce in the
short term due to market forces.

TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

Zest – Approved subdivision (RC13237) adjacent and to the west of the above. A combined 'turnkey' housing development comprising 357 allotments again with the potential for the subsequent dwelling units to exceed the number of lots with townhouse and duplex housing typologies proposed.
Minden Lifestyle Zone This zone has been quite active since its conception with many developments now completed and built on.
Currently the only development of any significance is a recently consented 32 lot lifestyle subdivision near the top of Minden Road. This is the development that may incorporate some (private) solar energy co- generation to supplement the grid supply as mentioned in our question 5 response.
Waihi Beach Significant developments include: Takhar Estate – Consented 66 lot subdivision accessed from 'The Crescent'. Thus far only earthworks have been undertaken.
Eastern Town The development of a new town (referred to as 'the Eastern Centre') in the east of the Western Bay of Plenty sub-region has been proposed to respond to growth challenges in the region. This was signalled through the Urban Form and Transport Initiative (UFTI) and is identified in the draft Future Development Strategy and is also a Priority Development Area through SmartGrowth. The Eastern Centre is envisaged as a new, greenfield development of approximately 800 ha that could be home to a future population of up to 40,000 people when fully developed. Council, alongside Ministry of Housing and Urban Development are currently developing a Business Case for this project.
Industrial Development General
Rangiuru Business Park Transpower are already aware of this industrial area as it's included in table 2 of attachment 4.

-0-

TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

Please be aware that 'Quayside Developments' (an investment arm of the
BOP Regional Council) is actively beginning development of the first
stages of Rangiuru with an approved earthworks consent as well as
subdivision consent for the first stage (approx. 29 lots) and earthmoving
under way.
Comvita are also developing a facility just to the south of this industrial
zone.
Washer Road Te Puke
A Private Plan Change was recently approved (2022) to create additional
Industrial land for Te Puke. A new roundabout is to be constructed at the
intersection of Jellicoe Street and Cameron Road. Activity is low in this
industrial zone however there is an active subdivision consent (RC14086)
to split the land into three titles. Subdivision is often a pre-cursor to
enable other activities however Council is not aware of the landowners
plans in this regard.
Katikati
Council's Industrial zone in Katikati (south of Marshall Road).
Other than the activities already long established within this zone there
has not been any further uptake since the zone was 'revised' around 10 –
15 years ago. However, Quayside Developments have more recently
expressed an interest in pursuing development in this area.
The SmartGrowth Strategy 2023 is a spatial planning document that
considers how urban form, infrastructure, transport, community
development and the environment need to be looked at together to
achieve effective growth in the Western Bay of Plenty. This includes
information on infrastructure. The SmartGrowth Strategy also includes a
Future Development Strategy (FDS) as required by the NPS-UD. The FDS
sets the high-level vision for accommodating urban growth over the
long term and identifies strategic priorities to inform development
related decisions such as District Plan zoning. The draft FDS as well as
the broader SmartGrowth Strategy will be available on 18th September
to 20 October 2023 for submissions.
Inductrial Land Study (SmartGrowth) A technical report by Aurocan is
Industrial Land Study (SmartGrowth) . A technical report by Aurecon is
now available to the public via the SmartGrowth website. This work
identified future options for industrial land in the sub-region to supply

_____O___

TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

	approximately 4-500ha of industrial / business land. Three 'shortlisted'
	sites have emerged and are centred around the Western Corridor,
	Pukemapu and Ōmokoroa. Note that as stated in the Aurecon report,
	there is further work to do in order to refine the various sites studied in
	the report.
	Other sources on information for reference
	SmartGrowth – Housing and Business Capacity Assessment (HBA) 2022
	Summary (available on the SmartGrowth website) Western Bay, TCC and
	Bay of Plenty Regional Council are required to undertake a Housing and
	Business Capacity Assessment in response to the National Policy
	Statement on Urban development. The section entitled 'Housing Bottom
	Lines' includes a table that shows the number of new houses needed
	over the short, medium and long term (out to 2052). There is also
	information in the HBA on long term planning for future Industrial Land requirements.
	The Urban Form and Transport Initiative (UFTI) (SmartGrowth website)
	sets out integrated land use and transport programme and delivery plan
	for the Western Bay of Plenty.
Question 8	Do you consider our demand assumptions appropriate for this investigation? Please provide us with any information about development in the region that doesn't appear in our document and which you consider could help inform our forecasts.
Response	Yes the demand assumptions are appropriate however, It appears that some long-term development forecasts are not listed in table 2. Please refer to our response to question 7 above.
Question 9	Are you aware of any new generation which would directly affect peak demand in the Western Bay of Plenty for this investigation? Regardless
	of whether is embedded or grid scale.
Posponso	No we are not aware of any significant new concretion projects other
Response	No we are not aware of any significant new generation projects other than the possible small scale solar that may (or may not) go ahead as part of the Minden lifestyle development – see our response to question 5.
Question 10	Do you consider our generation assumptions appropriate for this investigation?
Response	Yes. However, Council is not aware of the projects as alluded to by Transpower in the General Assumptions section of attachment 4. In

TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

	addition, we are not aware of any other new or co-generation projects in the region.
Question 11	Are our proposed scenario weightings reasonable?
Response	N/A
Question 12	What assumptions should we make when valuing the cost of not being able to supply demand (pre-contingency) because of no investment in the grid in our base case or counterfactual?
Response	This is not easily able to be answered without understanding the types of assumptions and weighting being made generally. Western Bay of Plenty District Council support the need for investment in infrastructure to ensure a continuous and resilient electricity network supply.
Attachment 5 –	Investment Test parameters
Question 13	Do you consider the proposed calculating period to 2050 appropriate for this investigation?
Response	Yes this is appropriate.
	Council undertakes a range of planning processes that focus on short, medium and long term.
	Councils long term planning (LTP) looks 10 years ahead and is reviewed every 3 years.
	The Future Development Strategy as required by the National Policy Statement on Urban Development is a 30 year plan that identifies housing and business land and infrastructure. The SmartGrowth Industrial Land Study is looking 30 years ahead. The SmartGrowth Strategy itself is a 50-year plan.
Question 14	Do you consider our proposed Value of Expected Unserved Energy of \$31,500/MWh to be appropriate for valuing unserved energy?
Response	Western Bay of Plenty District Council support the need for investment in infrastructure to ensure a continuous and resilient electricity network supply.
Question 15	Do you consider a discount rate of 5% (with sensitivities of 3% and 7%) to be appropriate for this investigation?
Response	This is not easily able to be answered without understanding the types of assumptions and weighting being made generally. Western Bay of Plenty District Council support the need for investment in infrastructure to ensure a continuous and resilient electricity network supply.

TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

Question 16	Are there other market costs or benefits which should be reflected in our Investment Test analysis?
Response	It is not clear what is being included in the investment test analysis. A 'wellbeing' approach e.g., economic, social, cultural and environmental analysis should be being applied to the test analysis to ensure that all aspects of our communities are being considered.

11 INFORMATION FOR RECEIPT